

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

8 MICHAEL E. JACKSON,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 ORVILLE B. MALLOTT, et al.,

12 Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-1521-RSL

ORDER RE: APPLICATION TO  
PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

13 Plaintiff submitted a corrected application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP). (Dkt. 11.)<sup>1</sup>  
14 Because plaintiff does not appear to have funds available to afford the \$400.00 filing fee, he  
15 financially qualifies for IFP status pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). Therefore, plaintiff's  
16 application to proceed IFP (Dkt. 11) is GRANTED. However, the undersigned recommends  
17 review under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). The Clerk of the Court is directed to send a copy of this  
18 Order to plaintiff and to the assigned District Judge.

19 DATED this 8<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2017.

20  
21 

22 Mary Alice Theiler  
23 United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff submitted another IFP application, on the wrong form. (Dkt. 10.) That application should be terminated and the noting date STRICKEN.